



KINGDOM OF CAMBODIA
NATION RELIGION KING

ELECTRICITÉ DU CAMBODGE

Ref: 1385 EDC- RD

Phnom Penh, 11 February, 2025

**Design, Supply, Installation, Testing, Commissioning Operation and Maintenance of
125MWh Battery Energy Storage System Project in GS12 for Grid Frequency Regulation
(GRID FORMING)**

Notification of Results of Bidding


Invitation for Bids No.: EDC-BESS-2024-01

1. EDC has received PRC's approval to the Bid Evaluation Report of the project of Design, Supply, Installation, Testing, Commissioning Operation and Maintenance of 125MWh Battery Energy Storage System Project in GS12 for Grid Frequency Regulation (GRID FORMING) dated January 29, 2025 for awarding the contract to bidder No.8: JV of Jiangsu Zhongtian Technology Co., Ltd. and Zhongtian Energy Storage Technology Co., Ltd. in the amount of USD 18,271,474.00 (Eighteen Million Two Hundred Seventy-one Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-four US Dollars Only) excluding all taxes and duties as stipulated in ITB 14.7.
2. There are eight (8) bidders participated in the bid (the deadline of bid submission was November 19th, 2024). The bids were opened on the same day, and the name of bidders and their proposed prices as read out in the bid opening are as show in Attachment 1.
3. There are two (2) bids were determined as responsive bids to the Evaluation and Qualification Criteria set out in the bidding document.
4. The information showing (a) name of each bidder who submitted a bid; (b) bid prices as read out in the bid opening; (c) name and evaluated prices of each bid that was evaluated; (d) name of bidders whose bids were consider as non-responsive and the reasons for the consideration; and (e) name of the winning bidder, and the price it offered are attached as Attachment 1.
5. Scope of Contract Award
The procurement of Design, Supply, Installation, Testing, Commissioning Operation and Maintenance of 125MWh Battery Energy Storage System Project in GS12 for Grid Frequency Regulation (GRID FORMING)

Sincerely Yours,



Dr. Praing Chulasa

Royal Government of Cambodia Delegated
in charge as Managing Director 


KINGDOM OF CAMBODIA
Nation Religion King

Design, Supply, Installation, Testing, Commissioning Operation and Maintenance of 125MWh Battery Energy Storage System Project in GS12 for Grid Frequency Regulation (GRID FORMING)

Name of Bidder, Proposed Price at Bid of Evaluation

Bidder No.	Name of Bidders	Bid Price				Status		Reasons
		Read out Bid at Opening (USD)		Price adjustment after Evaluation (USD)		Evaluated	Ranking	
		0.5C	1C	0.5C	1C			
1	Sungrow Renewables Development Co., Ltd.	19,300,000.00	26,668,000.00	55,312,854.25	78,344,551.96	Responsive	2 nd	The second lowest evaluated and substantially responsive bid.
2	Forward Energy Greentech Pte Ltd.	14,345,800.00	17,526,000.00	Not being Evaluated		Nonresponsive		- Do not submit the bid security as according to ITB 19.2. - No evidence on the written authorization. - Do not submit the evidence of Eligibility documents. - Do not submit the required qualification information and support documents.
3	Joint Venture of Branch of China Energy Engineering Group Anhui No.2 Electric Power Construction Co., Ltd. & Chaowei Power Group Co., Ltd.	25,758,970.00	43,529,606.00	60,335,726.09	135,643,180.87	Nonresponsive		The bidder did not meet the experience requirements outlined in Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, specifically 4.2 (Specific Supply & Contract Management Experience) of the bidding document.
4	Consortium of Xian Electric Engineering Co., Ltd. and Cornex New Energy Co., Ltd.	16,274,512.23	29,294,122.01	49,263,984.26	96,473,207.10	Nonresponsive		The bidder did not meet the experience requirements outlined in Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, specifically clauses 4.1 (General Supply Experience) and 4.2 (Specific Supply & Contract Management Experience) of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of Bidders	Bid Price				Status		Reasons
		Read out Bid at Opening (USD)		Price adjustment after Evaluation (USD)		Evaluated	Ranking	
		0.5C	1C	0.5C	1C			
5	Consortium of TBEA International Engineering Co., Ltd. and TBEA Sunoasis Co., Ltd.	19,286,417.38	26,211,837.13	55,548,027.87	82,280,087.07	Nonresponsive		The bidder did not meet the experience requirements outlined in Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, specifically 4.2 (Specific Supply & Contract Management Experience) of the bidding document.
6	The Consortium of SchneiTec Co., Ltd and Huawei International Pte. Ltd.	23,002,537.19	N/A	Not being Evaluated		Nonresponsive		As stated in ITB 19.6, the Bid Security of a JV shall be in the name of JV that submits the Bid. If the JV has not been legally constituted into a legally enforceable JV at the time of Bidding, the Bid Security shall be in the names of all future members as named in the letter of intent referred to in ITB 4.1 and ITB 11.2. Bidder No.6 submitted the bid security in the name of SchneiTec Co., Ltd. only. As stated in ITB 19.2, any Bid not accompanied by a substantially responsive Bid Security shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.
7	JV of China National Heavy Machinery Corporation and Envision Energy International Trading Limited	18,842,498.75	32,188,204.61	Not being Evaluated		Nonresponsive		The validity of Bid Security must be 28 days after bid validity as stipulated in ITB 18 and Section IV, Bidding Form: Form of Bid Security – Demand Guarantee. However, the Bid Security submitted by bidder was added the condition into the bid security form as “But in any case, this guarantee shall expire on 19th March 2025 at the latest”. As stated in ITB 19.2, any Bid not accompanied by a substantially responsive Bid Security shall be rejected by the Employer as non-responsive.



Bidder No.	Name of Bidders	Bid Price				Status		Reasons
		Read out Bid at Opening (USD)		Price adjustment after Evaluation (USD)		Evaluated	Ranking	
		0.5C	1C	0.5C	1C			
8	JV of Jiangsu Zhongtian Technology Co., Ltd. and Zhongtian Energy Storage Technology Co., Ltd.	18,271,474.00	26,361,503.00	55,074,347.94	91,623,352.45	Responsive	1 st	The lowest evaluated and substantially responsive bid, which the Lowest Final Price Adjustment of 0.5C (Bidder No. 8) compared to 1C (Bidder No. 1) is 70.30%. As stipulated in Section III – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria Clause 1.5 Method for Selection of 0.5C or 1C Type mentioned that If the Lowest Final Price Adjustment of 0.5C is lower than 75% of the Lowest Final Price Adjustment of 1C, the Employer will select 0.5C Type. Therefore, the Employer selected 0.5C Type for this project.

